IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.337 OF 2006
IN
APPEAL (L) NO.44 OF 2006
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.2797 OF 2005
IN
SUIT NO.1117 OF 2004

Mr.N.Chandra .. Applicant

V/s

M/s.Amruta Films (P) Ltd. & Anr. .. Respondents

Mrs.Seems Gupta i/b.A.M.Sarogi for the Applicant.
None for the Respondents.

CORAM : DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN &
S.J.VAZIFDAR, JJ.

DATE : 7TH APRIL, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Applicant. None appears for the Respondents though served. By this Notice of Motion, the Applicant is seeking condonation of 57 days delay. Perused the Notice of Motion and the affidavit-in-support thereof. For the reasons stated in the affidavit-in-support of the Notice of Motion, sufficient cause is made out for condoning the delay. There is no case of inaction, negligence or want of bonafide on the part of the Applicant. Hence, Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause(a).

(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN,J.)

(S.J.VAZIFDAR,J.)